UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 22-10014-CR-GAYLES/TORRES

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1	7	
•	•	

WILLIAM MATEU,

Defendant.	

ORDER AFFIRMING AND ADOPTING REPORT OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court on Chief Magistrate Judge Edwin G. Torres' Report and Recommendation on Defendant's Motions ("Report"). [ECF No. 49]. On October 25, 2022, Defendant filed his Motion to Dismiss the Indictment. [ECF No. 18]. On September 12, 2023, Defendant filed his Motion in Limine and Incorporated Memorandum of Law. [ECF No. 38]. On September 28, 2023, Defendant filed his Motion to Suppress Statements. [ECF No. 41]. On October 10, 2023, the at-issue Motions were referred to Judge Torres. [ECF No. 43]. Judge Torres' Report recommends that the Court deny all three Motions. On November 16, 2023, Defendant filed his Notice of No Objections to the Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 50].

A district court may accept, reject, or modify a magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Those portions of the report and recommendation to which objection is made are accorded *de novo* review if those objections "pinpoint the specific findings that the party disagrees with." *United States v. Schultz*, 565 F.3d 1353, 1360 (11th Cir. 2009); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). Any portions of the report and recommendation to which *no* specific objection is made are reviewed only for clear error. *Liberty Am. Ins. Grp., Inc. v. WestPoint Underwriters*,

L.L.C., 199 F. Supp. 2d 1271, 1276 (M.D. Fla. 2001); accord Macort v. Prem, Inc., 208 F. App'x 781, 784 (11th Cir. 2006).

This Court finds no clear error with Judge Torres' well-reasoned analysis and agrees that the Motions must be denied.

Accordingly, after careful consideration, it is **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** as follows:

- (1) Judge Torres' Report and Recommendation on Defendant's Motions [ECF No. 49] is **AFFIRMED AND ADOPTED** and incorporated into this Order by reference;
- (2) Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Indictment, [ECF No. 18], is **DENIED**;
- (3) Defendant's Motion in Limine and Incorporated Memorandum of Law, [ECF No. 38], is **DENIED**; and
- (4) Defendant's Motion to Suppress Statements, [ECF No. 41], is **DENIED**.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Miami, Florida, this 20th day of November, 2023.

DARRIN P. GAYLES / / UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE